# living planet symposium







 High resolution crop mapping along the growing season: methodological developments towards an operational exploitation of Sentinel-1, 2 and 3

François Waldner, Raphaël d'Andrimont and Pierre Defourny Université catholique de Louvain (BE)

#### Agriculture monitoring, why?



Agricultural statistics are needed at the regional level and international level by governments, traders and food industries.



G-20 initiatives: AMIS–FAO and GEOGLAM (Global Agriculture Monitoring)

# Crop specific information is a prerequisite for monitoring



#### Need to improve agricultural monitoring



Crop specific maps are a **pre-requisite**:

- 1) Direct area estimation
- 2) Improved crop growth modeled results



#### Partial time-series for annual crop specific mage esa

#### Crop identification exploits differences in:

- spectral responses
- timing of crop development
- crop architecture

Because of rotations and agricultural practices, fields are sown with a different crop each year

 $\rightarrow$  annual crop specific map

To support monitoring, crop maps need to be available asap

#### $\rightarrow$ partial time-series

# An improved level of details as information accumulates



Crop mapping approach with 3 products delivered along the season:

- 1. Pre-seasonal cropland layer based on the previous year time-series
- 2. Crop group layer at the end of the winter
- *3. Crop specific layer* updated at each acquisition during the spring and the summer

#### Three crop products along the season





The operational context imposes to reduce the dependency on a single data type:

- Sensor malfunction
- Cloud contamination
- $\rightarrow$  multi-sensor approach

Combine the temporal consistency of **S-3**, the spatial consistency of **S-2** as well as the complementary data of HR SAR data (**S-1**) to produce high resolution maps

	Sentinel-1 (SAR)	Sentinel-2 (HRO)	Sentinel-3 (MRO)
+	<ul> <li>weather inderpendent</li> <li>temporal resolution (night acquisitions)</li> </ul>	<ul><li>spatial resolution</li><li>number of bands</li></ul>	<ul><li>temporal frequency</li><li>number of bands</li></ul>
_	<ul><li>number of bands</li><li>difficult to interpret</li></ul>	<ul><li> cloud contamination</li><li> temporal resolution</li></ul>	<ul><li> cloud contamination</li><li> spatial resolution</li></ul>

#### The study focuses on two contrasted areas Cesa

## South African site

- Free State Province is SA's breadbasket (70% of total grain production)
- sub-humid to semi-arid climate
- Field size: 0,5-40 ha
- Home gardens, small scale and commercial farming
- Continuous growing season
- 129 000 km<sup>2</sup>





	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Maize Phenology (Long)												
Maize Reflectance (Long)												
						_					_	
Maize Phenology (Short)												
Maize Reflectance (Short)												
							_					
Sunflower Phenology (Early)												
Sunflower Reflectance (Early)												
												_
Sunflower Phenology (Late)												
Sunflower Reflectance (Late)				L								
Soya/Dry Beans Phenology												
Soya/Dry Beans Reflectance												
Country Diagonal and							1					
Groundnuts Phenology	-											
Groundnuts Reflectance												
Sorahum Phonology		_		_						_		
Sorohum Reflectance												
Jorginani Reflectance		-						-				
Pasture Phenology				·····	1	·····	1		F			
Pasture Reflectance												
				_	-		-	-	-	-		-
Wheat Phenology			1	1	1	}						
Wheat Reflectance												
WinterGrazing Phenology												
WinterGrazing Reflectance												
MaizeWheatPivot Phenology												
MaizeWheatPivot Reflectance												
Lucerne Cut/Growth Phenology												
Lucerne Cut/Growth Reflectance												

#### The study focuses on two contrasted areas @esa

## **Russian site**

- Continental climate
- Field size: 70-ha
- Winter crops are planted in August, summer crops in April
- 26 000 km<sup>2</sup>





## Data acquisition plan



Large sites to simulate the wide swath of sentinel-2 (280-km)

 $\rightarrow$  integrate the spatial gradient of vegetation conditions across the image

**Russia (acquired):** 

13 Radarsat-2 images (300x300 km) 5 RapidEye coverages (500 25x25 km images)

In-situ data collected in July by the **Russian Agrometeorological Institute** 

 $\rightarrow$  600 crop type observations at the field level for the 2013 season Field boundaries last updated in 2012 manualy digitized on Landsat images

#### South Africa (in acquisition):

13 RapidEye coverages (4300 25x25 km im.) 13 Radarsat-2 (200 70x150 km im.)





#### Comparison of the sensors for Russia



	Sentinel-1	RADARSAT-2	Sentinel-2	RAPIDEYE	SENTINEL-3	MODIS
Nominal Swath	80-km	300-km	290-km	77-km	1250-km	2330-km
Wavelength (µm)/frequency	C-band	C-band	blue (0.42- 0.55), green (0.53-0.59), red (0.63- 0.69), red-edge (0.69-0.72, 0.72-0.75, 0.76-0.8, 0.84-0.89), near-infrared (0.72-0.96) and 5 others	blue (0.40- 0.51), green (0.52-0.59), red(0.63- 0.685), red-edge (0.69-0.73), near-infrared (0.76-0.85)	red (0.6-0.7) , near-infrared (0.88-0.89) and 19 others	red (0.62- 0.67), near- infrared (0.84-0.88) and 34 others
Polarization	HH+HV VV+VH	HH+HV VV+VH	NA	NA	NA	NA
Beam Inci- dence angle	20-41	20-46	NA	NA	NA	NA
Ground reso- lution	5-m	25-m	10-m	5-m	300-m	250-m
Repeat cycle	2 days using a constellation of satellites	programmable	5 days with a pair	daily with 5 satellites	1-2days with a pair	1-2 days

#### Cropland mask based on the previous year time-series esa



Crop groups

Crop species

Existing land cover maps contain cropland information

- BUT general maps
  - global maps need local tuning
  - changes
  - how to allocate mixed agricultural classes?
- →Image-to-map discrepancy detection to update the land cover map (GlobCover 2009 in this case)
- $\rightarrow$ Easily transposable to other sites

# Multi-variate normal iterative trimming to detect outliers



Cropland

Crop groups

Crop species

Image-to-map discrepancy detection using iterative trimming (Radoux and Defourny, 2010)

- Smoothing and gap filling of MODIS 10-day mean composites MSAVI time-series of the previous year timeseries (August 2012 to August 2013)
- 2. Metric extraction of MODIS time-series (mean, norm, range, sum)
- 3. Parametric estimation of 'pure' class distributions
- 4. Iterative truncation (5%) of the class distribution
- 5. Maximum likelihood classification of the outliers and of the mixed agricultural classes
- $\rightarrow$  Cropland mapped at 250-m at the beginning of the season

## Assessment of the pre-seasonal cropland layer esa



Commission errors due to the absence of a natural vegetation class

#### Crop group map at the end of the winter



Cropland

Crop groups

**Crop species** 

- Smoothing and gap filling of MODIS 10-day mean composite MSAVI time-series from August 2012 to March 2013
- 2. Fourier transform of the time-series and segmentation on the two first components
- 3. Automated identification of key events and rulebased classification of the time-series
- 4. Cross-validation and reclassification using the 25 nearest neighbors

## Harmonic decomposition



#### Cropland

Crop groups

#### Crop species

 Harmonic analysis transforms an input a temporal input signal into the frequency domain

 $\rightarrow$ Initial signal to a sum of sines waves

- Each harmonic is defined by a phase and a magnitude
- Pixels of the same Land Cover exhibit the same temporal signature

ightarrow They should be defined by similar harmonic components

- No need to identify key dates that can vary on large region for a good multi-date segmentation
- Mutliresolution segmentation on the first two orders and the additive term



# Automated decision rule based on feature identification



Crop species

No training data  $\rightarrow$  definition of a classification rule based on three features: 1) First snow date, 2) winter growth peak, 3) minimum preceeding it



Crop groups

living planet symposium 9–13 September 2013 | Edinburgh, UK

Cropland

#### Assessment of the crop group cropland layer esa

#### Cropland

#### Crop groups

Crop species

#### Visual check

#### Quantitative validation

#### Overall accuracy : 78 %

	<b>3 1 1</b>	
	<b>.</b>	
1.20	•	
		RS

Reference							
Classification	Other	Winter crops	User Acc.				
Other	346	104	0.77				
Winter crops	37	143.00	0.79				
Producer Acc. Overall Acc.	0.90 0.78	0.58					

#### Omission errors due to the tightening of the decision rule

#### Combining complementary S1, S2 and S3 data







#### Crop specific map updated along the season esa

Cropland

Crop groups

Crop species

At each high resolution image acquistion:

- 1. Pre-processing and coregistration
- 2. Constrained segmentation and computation of object statistics
- 3. kNN imputation of missing data
- 4. Random Forest classification
- $\rightarrow$  Demonstration on a smaller site (6 images)
- → Reduced training and validation data sets pertinence of the data set?

#### Preliminary results





Dominance of the winter wheat and the spring barley classes



Support agriculture monitoring by providing 3 products along the season using S1, 2 and 3

- Radar data is valuable to provide information if S-2 not available but with less accuracy
- High resolution optical imagery improves both the accuracy and the spatial details
- S-3's frequent revisit capacity allows updating rapidly crop information, even before the spring

Satisfactory accuracy of the within season estimates BUT need to consider the entire area







# Thanks for your attention !



francois.waldner@uclouvain.com

www.fp7-imagines.eu/

